Full Transcript Report

Designation Legend

MEHLMAN, MYRON A. PLF COUNTER Designations US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

U.S. Steel's Designations Appear in Green

Plaintiffs' Counter-Designations Appear in Yellow

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 1
01
        IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
02
        THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
        MADISON COUNTY, ILLINOIS
03
04
     RONALD E. AWALT, and
05
              RY AWALT
06
07
                   * No. 02-L-956
8 0
        * (BENZENE) *
09
     ALLIED SIGNAL CORPORATION, * ET AL
10
11
12
13
        ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
        MYRON A. MEHLMAN, Ph.D.
14
15
        December 11, 2003
16
17
        Oral and Videotaped Deposition of MYRON A. MEHLMAN,
18
19
        Ph.D., produced as a witness at the instance of the
20
        Plaintiffs, and duly sworn, was taken in the
     above-styled and numbered cause on the 11th day of
21
22
        December 2003, from 10:36 to 12:47 p.m., before Tonya
        Jackson, CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Texas,
23
        reported by machine shorthand, at. Moody Gardens, 7 Hope
24
25
        Boulevard, Galveston, Texas, pursuant to the Illinois
     Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on
26
27
        the record or attached hereto.
```

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 2
01
        INDEX
02
03
        Page
     Appearances
04
05
        Stipulations
     MYRON A. MEHLMAN, Ph.D.
06
07
        Examination by Mr. Hobson
    amination by Mr. Lynn
08
        Examination by Mr. Miller
09
    examination by Mr. Hobson
10
11
        Reexamination by Mr. Lynn
                                      80
12
    examination by Mr. Miller
13
14
        Changes and Signature Page .....
15
        Reporter's Certificate
                                                    93
16
17
        EXHIBITS
                               PAGE IDENTIFIED
18
     NUMBER
               DESCRIPTION
19
        1
              Deposition Notice
                                   53
             Attachment II
20
        3
21
             Memo regarding benzene levels 10/18/77
                                                         30
        7
             Memo from Lester Levin 8/18/77
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 3
01
        Appearances:
02
03
              R THE PLAINTIFFS:
04
               . Herschel L. Hobson
05
        SBOT No. 09744600
06
               w Offices of Herschel L. Hobson
07
        2190 Harrison Street
80
09
    aumont, Texas 77701
10
        FOR RADIATOR SPECIALTY COMPANY:
11
        Mr. Lawrence A. Lynn SBOT No. 12738250
12
        Coats Rose
13
               0 First City Tower
14
15
        1001 Fannin
    uston, Texas 77002
17
18
         FOR UNITED STATES STEEL and AMOCO:
        Mr. Adam E. Miller
19
20
    sch & Eppenberger
        190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600 St. Louis, Missouri 63105
21
22
        VIDEOTAPED BY:
23
        Ms. Warriene Flatt Legal Images
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
```

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 4
01
         PROCEEDING S:
02
         (EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4 MARKED)
03
         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record at
04
         10:36 a.m.
05
         (WITNESS SWORN)
06
         THE REPORTER: State your agreement for
07
         the record.
08
         MR. HOBSON: Take it pursuant -- this
      will be the Illinois Rules of Civil Procedure.
09
10
         MYRON A. MEHLMAN, Ph.D.,
11
     having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
12
         EXAMINATION
13
         BY MR. HOBSON:
14
         Q.
               Would you introduce yourself, please, sir.
15
         Α.
               My name is Myron A. Mehlman, M-E-H-L-M-A-N.
16
               And it's Dr. Mehlman, I believe.
         Q.
17
         Α.
               Yes.
18
         Q. And you have a -- what degree for the
19
         doctorate?
               I have a Ph.D. degree from Massachusetts
20
         Α.
21
         Institute of Technology.
22
         Q.
               Dr. Mehlman, you and your wife have two
23
         homes -- one in Princeton, New Jersey, and one here in
         Galveston, Texas -- correct?
24
25
         Α.
               Yes.
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Pag
01	Q. And we're here in Galveston to take your
02	deposition today.
03	A. Yes.
04	Q. It's my knowledge that you used to work for
05	Mobil; is that right?
06	A. Yes.
07	Q. Would you give us the years of your employment
08	with Mobil, please.
09	A.) From 1977 through 1969, I was director of
10)	toxicology and environmental health. Then I became
11	director of toxicology and environmental health,
12	sciences laboratory manager, both
13	toxicology/laboratory.
14	Q. When you were working with Mobil, did you have
15)	occasion to deal with the topic of benzene?
16	A. Yes. I was pretty much responsible for
17	measuring benzene exposure with Mobil employees and its
18	affiliates as well as identify the content of benzene
19	in various Mobil products -
20	Q. All right.
21	A and products that were used by Mobil.
22	Q. And during your stint with Mobil, did you have
23	occasion, then, to receive and send correspondence
24	within the corporation?
25	A. Yes.

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

Λ1	Page
01	Q.) (Doctor, I've got some documents that we've)
02)	(marked as attachments to your deposition. They are
03)	Exhibits 2, 3, and 4. Could I ask you to take those
04)	sequentially, tell us what each one of them is? Let's
05)	start with Exhibit No. 2, please.
06)	(A.) (Exhibit 2 is a memo to Paul Carl, with copies)
07)	(to number of individuals in the laboratory and in)
08)	Beaumont refinery and myself as well as to William
09)	Selfridge, who was in charge of employee relationship
10)	for Mobil Oil Corporation. This exhibit describes -
11)	this is a memo from Hergrueter, and it refers to a memo
12)	from T.W. Gregg to me of October 6th this is 1977 -
13)	where we requested that Liquid Wrench be analyzed for
14)	(the content of benzene as well as all other Mobil)
15)	(products.)
16)	Q.) (All right, sir. And in this Exhibit 2 does it)
17)	indicate that you would have received a copy of this at
18)	the time?
19)	(A.) I did receive a copy of this at that time.
20)	Q.) All right, sir. Can you tell us identify
21)	Exhibit 3, please.
22)	A.) Exhibit 3 is analysis of Liquid Wrench,
23)	showing that it contains 30 percent of benzene.
24)	Q.) All right, sir. And Exhibit 4?
25)	A. This is a memo concerning Liquid Wrench

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 7
01	benzene content, showing the concentration of benzene
02)	in Liquid Wrench by J.L. Wescoat.
03	Q.) And the date on that memo, please?
04)	A.) October 12, 1977.
05)	Q. And the date on Exhibit 3 was what, sir?
06)	A.) (10/5/77.)
07	Q. When you left Mobil's employ, did you take
08	some documents that had been in your files at Mobil
09	with you or copies of them?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Can you tell me whether or not these documents
12	that are marked as Exhibits 2, 3, and 4, were those
13	documents -
14	A. Yes.
15	Q part of them?
16	So, let me ask you if Exhibits 2, 3, and 4
17	have been continuously in your possession since you
18	worked for Mobil?
19	A. Since 1977.
20 .	Q. And is there any reason for you to think that
21	these are not true and correct copies of the originals?
22	MR. MILLER: Object to the form.
23	A. They're absolutely true and correct copies of
24	original documents.
25	Q. (BY MR. HOBSON) Is there any reason for you,

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 8
01	Dr. Mehlman, to question the authenticity of these
02	documents?
03	A. No. They were authentic because I was at the
04	meeting where the subject matter was discussed, a
05	number of meetings.
06	MR. HOBSON: I pass the witness.
07	MR. MILLER: Why don't you go.
08	EXAMINATION
09	BY MR. LYNN:
10	Q. Dr. Mehlman, my name is Lawrence Lynn. I'm
11	here representing Radiator Specialty Company. I have
12	some questions for you.
13	Can you tell us what the purpose was for
14	Mobil's testing of Liquid Wrench?
15	A. When Occupational Safety & Health
16	Administration initiate emergency temporary standard of
17	1 part per million T.W.A., now known as T.L.V., it was
18	my responsibility to identify exposure levels of Mobil
19	employees in all the facilities as well as to identify
20	the concentration of benzene in all Mobil products as
21	well as any of the products that Mobil used. We have
22	done so on hundreds and hundreds of products, including
23	Liquid Wrench.
24	Q. In the course of making the determination as
25	to what benzene content, if any, may have been in these

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 9
01	products, what was the procedure that was going to be
02	used?
03	A. We used Mobil technical services analytical
04	laboratory since my facility was not yet set up and
05	they conducted comprehensive gas chromatographic
06	analysis on all products to identify and they have
07	identified for us, means for toxicology and medical
08	department the concentration of benzene products
09	and, in fact, I recommended because of high
10	concentration of benzene that use of Liquid Wrench be
11	discontinued and apparently it appears in the memo.
12	So -
13	Q. Okay. Are you done with that answer?
14	A. Yes.
15	MR. LYNN: Object to the nonresponsive
16	portion.
17	A. I'm sorry. I didn't quite, then, understand
18	your question. What's the purpose? I thought I
19	explained.
20	Q. (BY MR. LYNN) Okay. Well, you talked about
21	the purpose earlier; and then we were talking about the
22	procedure.
23	A. Procedure was use of our analytical
24	facility sophisticated, entirely competent
25	analytical facility that can accurately determine

Rhyne Trial Master

	Pa		
01	benzene concentration in petroleum product or any other		
02	product.		
03	Q. Where was the facility located that was going		
04)	(to do this testing?)		
05)	(A.) (In Paulsboro.)		
06)	Q. Before I get to the Paulsboro testing, was		
07)	there any discussion of making inquiries with the		
08)	manufacturers of any products to see if they had done		
09)	any testing to find out if there was any benzene or the		
10)	concentration of any benzene in products being used by		
$oldsymbol{11}ig)$	(Mobil?)		
12)	A. Not that I recall. Besides, that wouldn't		
13)	have been my responsibility to go to manufacturers and		
14)	inquire.		
15)	Q. The Paulsboro lab, is that in New Jersey?		
16)	(A.) (In New Jersey where within the Paulsboro)		
17)	(refinery.)		
18)	Q. Did you personally take part in any of the		
19)	(testing) (with any of these products?)		
20)	(A.) (No. I asked that they be tested.)		
21	Q. Can you describe for us your background and		
22	qualifications with respect to testing procedures?		
23	A. Training, I had some analytical chemistry,		
24	organic chemistry, number of what physical		
25	chemistry. I had a group of industrial hygienists tha		

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page
01	reported to me for a while, for about a year; and we
02	had some equipment later on in the laboratory that we
03	could do our own testing that was around 1980s. I was
04	sufficiently briefed at that time about methodology and
05	procedures that were used in analyze various
06	hydrocarbon components.
07	Q. Do you have any type of certifications or
08	degrees in testing?
09	A. No, I do not. I had individuals who worked
10	for me that had certification in various type of
11)	testing.
12)	Q. To break it down to layperson terminology, I
13)	guess, do you have the qualifications to determine
14	whether or not the technicians in the lab are
15	performing tests properly?
16	A.) Absolutely.
17)	Q. And what is the basis for that?
18	A. I use a positive control and a negative
19	control, and we know a percent of recoveries. The
20)	reason we went inside, because the testing in outside
21)	laboratories was inadequate.
22)	Q. Tell me what you mean by outside laboratories
23	being inaccurate.)
24)	A. Contract laboratories. When samples sent to
25	contract laboratories, I got variability in results,

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page			
01	including of spike samples. So, immediately terminate			
02)	(using of contract laboratories and went in-house where			
03)	results were reproducible. High recovery of samples			
04)	that we spiked, usually 95 to 99 percent or 101			
05)	percent; and blanks came out blank.			
06)	$egin{pmatrix} extstyle Q. \end{pmatrix}$ (Now, for a particular test, a particular)			
07)	individual test, how do you make a determination as to			
08)	whether or not that particular test was accurate?			
)9)	$oxed{\mathtt{A.}}$ I rely on the quality of testing of Mobil			
L O)	analytical laboratory, which was excellent. They had			
L 1)	many years of experience, and results that we got back			
L2)	on thousands of samples were accurate.			
L 3)	Q.) (Would you expect results received from that)			
L 4)	laboratory to be reproducible?			
L 5)	A.) I would expect it, yes.)			
L6)	Q. Are there any protocols that exist for the			
L 7)	type of testing that was being done by Mobil with			
.8)	(respect to benzene?)			
.9)	A.) There are protocols because Mobil routinely			
20)	tested for petroleum hydrocarbon, which would			
?1)	include hydrocarbons, which would include benzene.			
22)	Q.) And what are those testing protocols?			
23)	A. You need to ask the analytical laboratory.			
24)	They have them.			

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 13
01
     protocols are?
              I have seen them, but I don't remember. I
02
        Α.
        didn't make any effort to memorize something that
03
04
        transpired 26 years ago.
              Would there be document -- let me withdraw
05
        Q.
        that question.
06
        Should there be documentation as to the
07
     protocol being utilized for a particular test so that
08
     20 years later you can determine whether or not the
09
     test was properly done?
10
        Α.
              The procedure and protocol should exist.
11
              In what form should they exist?
12
        Q.
              I don't know. You need to find out what form
        Α.
13
        was -- they exist now.
14
              When you received test results from this Mobil
15
        Q.
16
     testing program for benzene, did you at that time
     review any of the protocols and compare them with the
17
         tests to determine whether or not the tests were done
18
     properly?
19
        Α.
              I did not. I had other people do it for me.
20
              Who would be the other people at Mobil who
21
         Q.
     would have been doing those checks?
22
               The analytical chemists, the quality control.
23
         Α.
     We also have quality assurance program. When needed, I
24
         requested that that be checked.
25
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page
01	Q. Can you provide us with the names of any of
02)	the individuals who would have been checking the
03)	testing done with respect to this Liquid Wrench test
04)	(that the documents reflect?)
05)	(A.) (The names is on the memo. You can ask any of
06)	(the analytical chemists.)
07)	Q. When was the last time you spoke with any of
(80	the people whose names are on that memo?
09)	A. About 14 years ago 15 or 16 years ago,
10)	(maybe longer.)
11)	(Q.) Do you recall ever discussing the particulars
12)	of this test with any of the gentlemen reflected on the
13)	memos?
14)	A.) The only thing I recall is that we had
15)	discussed that the concentration of benzene was high -
16)	unusually high to be used without protective equipment;
17)	and it was recommended that the product be discontinued
18)	in the memo, as stated. So .
19)	$oxed{Q.}$ Was there any discussion of verifying the test
20)	to determine whether or not it was an accurate figure?
21)	A.) I am certain that the levels were accurate.)
22)	But did I discuss it? No.
23)	Q. Why are you certain that the levels are
24)	(accurate?)
25)	A. Because they haven't made any mistakes and

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 15
     on analysis for benzene, and they had a lot of
01
02
        experience. They analyzed thousands of samples.
              Well, if you haven't checked the particulars
        0.
03
04
     of the test or reproduced the test, then how do you
     know mistakes haven't been made?
05
              The quality of work was very high.
06
        Α.
07
        Q.
              You're assuming because of the general
     reputation and experience with the quality of the
80
09
     laboratory's work, that each individual test done by
        the laboratory was accurate; is that correct?
10
11
        Α.
              I had no reason to question this analysis.
     However, I did look, as I pointed out, at thousands of
12
     samples that the laboratory did for me -- they did
13
     thousands of their own samples -- and I find them to be
14
     within range and acceptable and some of the samples we
15
16
        split in two. I had my industrial hygiene split, and
        the results came out very close together. So, I was
17
        satisfied that the quality is very high.
18
              Was that done with any of the tests on Liquid
19
        Q.
     Wrench?
20
              I don't know. I don't know that.
21
        Α.
              Were any tests done of Liquid Wrench in the
22
        Q.
23
        labs at Beaumont?
              I specifically don't recall if they did. They
24
        A.
        may, but I don't recall that.
25
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 16
01
         Q.
              Okay. Do you know whether the testing done on
     Liquid Wrench was a qualitative or a quantitative test?
02
03
         Α.
              All tests were quantitative.
04
         4.
               For a quantitative test, would you generally
         expect that if a substance is tested, the results will
05
06
      show all of the components in the sample?
07
               Depends what you're looking for. You can
        Α.
08
         show -- you can measure all the components, or you can
09
      only measure one component and report one component.
10
         Q.
              Do you know why the other components of Liquid
      Wrench were not reported in this instance?
11
              We only were interested in benzene
12
        A.)
13
         concentration because we're required by law -- in
14
         nineteen -- I believe it was '77 emergency temporary
15
         standard -- to determine the concentration of benzene
16
      in all our products, especially petroleum hydrocarbon
17
        products.
18
         Q.
               The time period when this test would have been
19
     done, do you know how the results would have been
20
        reported to the testing people?
              I thought testing people report the results to
21
        Α.
22
         someone else.
23
               Probably wasn't a good question. Let me back
         Q.
24
        up a little bit.
25
        I want to talk a little bit step by step as to
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 17
     how this testing would have been done, if you know at
01
     all. Do you know how the sample would have been
02
     obtained?
03
              No, I do not; and if I did at that time, I
        Α.
04
        wouldn't recall.
05
              Do you know whether generally accepted
        0.
06
        scientific practices generally require that data be
07
     maintained as to a sample -- where it came from,
80
        information about that sample -- if a test is going to
09
        be utilized for a scientific purpose?
10
        Α.
              We followed that practice on our benzene
11
        samples. We had a good recordkeeping procedure and
12
13
        documentation.
              So, do you believe that Mobil should have had
14
        Q.
        a record as to where the sample of Liquid Wrench was
15
     obtained from?
16
              They should -- they should, but you need to
17
        Α.
        ask the person who obtained the sample. I don't
18
     remember who did that.
19
20
        Q.
               Do you know what particular formulation or
     variation of Liquid Wrench was utilized for this test?
21
              No. Apparently it had to be some -- from
22
        Α.
        petroleum products because the benzene concentration
23
        was very high. Well, coal -- petroleum or a coal tar
24
25
        pitch, something -- not tar pitch -- coal distillation
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 18
01
      products. It was -- it was much too high to have come
02
         from source like naphtha -- most naphtha is
03
         substantially lower -- or kerosenes.
04
         MR. LYNN: Object to the
05
         nonresponsiveness.
06
         Q.
               (BY MR. LYNN) Were you aware that Liquid
07
      Wrench came in different formulations?
08
         MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
09
         Α.
               To some extent, yes, there were different
10
         formulations.
11
         Q.
               (BY MR. LYNN) Do you know which one was used
12
         for this test?
13
         A.)
               No, I do not.
14
         Q. Do you know what the chain of custody was
15)
         for -
16
         A.
               No -
17
               -- the sample?
         Q.
18
         Α.
               -- I do not.
               This chain of custody is something that should
19
         Q.
20
         be reported in doing a proper scientific test?
21
         Α.
               I used chain of custody. I don't know if it
         should or shouldn't be at that time period; but
22
23
      whenever I have my people gather the sample, we have
24
         chain of custody and good records.
25
         Q.
               Have you seen any records indicating the chain
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 19
01
     custody for this Liquid Wrench sample?
02
              I wouldn't even -- if I had seen it, I
03
        wouldn't recall it.
              If there were documentation regarding where
04
        Q.
        the sample was obtained in the chain of custody, where
05
     would that be kept?
06
              Oh, I don't know -- I have no idea where these
07
        Α.
80
     documents now would be kept.
        Q. At the time when you were there, was there a
09
10
     place where they were supposed to be kept?
               Yes. We had the records and we transmitted
11
12
     the records usually to the analytical laboratory or we
13
        would have kept in our files.
14
        Q.
               Okay,
15
              We also would show these records to the
        laboratory so they'll know -- so they can trace where
16
17
        the sample has been, who handle it, and so on.
               Where precisely would the records be kept?
18
        Ο.
               You would have to ask Mobil. I don't know
19
        Α.
     where they would be kept. They were kept in filing
20
        cabinets when I was there. I don't know now where they
21
22
        would be kept.
               Where were those filing cabinets located while
23
        Q.
        you were there?
24
25
               '77, that would have been on 42nd Street in
        Α.
```

MEHLMAN, MYRON A. PLF COUNTER Designations US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 2
01	New York City. In 1977 that's what in medical
02	department.
03	Q. That would be at the Mobil headquarters in
04	New York?
05	A. That's right, forty-two forty I don't
06	remember exactly the number anymore.
07	Q. Have you seen any documentation as to whether
(80)	or not there was any possibility of the sample being
09)	contaminated prior to testing?
(10)	(A.) (I haven't seen any.)
$\langle {f 11} angle$	Q. Do you know whether any determination was made
12)	as to whether or not the sample that was tested was a
13)	typical sample of any formulation of Liquid Wrench?
14)	(A.) (I don't recall that.)
1 5)	Q. Okay. Do you know what testing equipment was
16)	used to perform the test?
17)	(A.) (I don't recall this now. Usually gas)
(18)	(38) (chromatographs.)
19)	Q. Did the gas chromatograph need to be
20)	calibrated prior to testing?
21)	(A.) (It should be, yes.)
22)	Q.) Do you know what calibration was done of any
23)	particular equipment used to test this particular
24	(sample?)
25)	A. Since I didn't do any of that work, you need

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

Γ		
		Page 2
	01	to ask the analytical chemist that did the work.
	02	Q. But you have no personal knowledge of any
	03	calibration that was done of the equipment, do you?
	04	A.) I don't recall anything specific.
	05)	Q. So, you can't testify under oath that the
	06	machine was properly calibrated at the time of testing,
	07)	(can you?)
	08	A.) All I can testify is that these are authentic
	09	documents. We measured that along with hundreds of
	10	other products. That's all I can say. And I have seen
	11)	them.)
	12	MR. LYNN: Object to the responsiveness.
03, umulative	13	Q. (BY MR_ LYNN) Can you testify under oath that
	14	the equipment used to test the Liquid Wrench sample was
$\left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\cdot \right) \\ \cdot \end{array} \right)$	15	properly calibrated prior to testing, from your
	16	personal knowledge?
	17 \	A. I already answered that. No, I cannot.
	18	Q. What would be the proper procedure for
	19)	calibrating the gas chromatograph?
	20)	A.) I think you need to ask the analytical
	21	chemists.
03,	22	Q. So, you have no personal knowledge sitting
ımulative	23	here today -
complete	24	A. I do have excuse me. I didn't say that.
uestion	25	You need to ask; and if I do, I don't recall. It's

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	01	been over 26 years since I used any of the equipment or
	02)	been instructed or familiarize myself with that
	03)	equipment. You ask me questions that are not in my
	04)	domain.)
s, nulative	05)	Q. And that's all we're trying to establish,
te of time	06	Doctor, is what you know sitting here today and what
question	07)	you don't know.
ed	08)	(A.) (I answered that several times, what I know and
	09	what I don't know.
	10)	Q. Do you know whether there are any alternative
	11)	types of equipment that can be used to measure benzene
	12)	content in a sample of a product such as Liquid Wrench
	13)	other than a gas chromatograph?
	14)	(A.) (There are alternatives. I don't recall what)
:	15)	(they are.)
	16)	Q. Do you know what the relative advantages or
	17)	disadvantages are of a gas chromatograph as opposed to
	18)	a different type of equipment that might be used for
	19)	(the test?)
***************************************	20)	A. A gas chromatograph is more precise. You can
	21)	get a more accurate analysis. Can use you can also
,	22)	get at the same time many other components if you
, s	23)	choose so.
	24	Q. Are there issues, when using a gas
	25)	chromatograph, as to a differentiation between benzene

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 23
     and cyclohexane?
01
02
        Α.
              I wouldn't recall. I know cyclohexane was
        analyzed also in some products, but the issue isn't -
03
        well, there may be time of retention; but I don't
04
        recall at this time.
05
              Are you aware of whether or not there was any
06
        Ο.
     analysis done to determine whether or not it was
07
     benzene or cyclohexane that was being detected in the
08
        test that's reflected in the documents you've brought
09
     us here today?
10
              I don't think that was an issue. The analysts
11
        Α.
     were -- they knew what they were doing. They had been
12
        doing it for many decades, and they're highly
13
14
     professional and experienced chemists that did the
        analysis.
15
        MR. LYNN: Object to the responsiveness.
16
               (BY MR. LYNN) Are you aware at all of any
17
        Q.
        issues that can arise when doing a test with a gas
18
19
        chromatograph as to difficulties in distinguishing
     between cyclohexane and benzene?
20
              I don't recall at this point.
21
        Α.
              Do you recall ever having any discussions with
22
        Q.
        anyone at Mobil or the labs about confirming whether or
23
     not what was being reported as benzene was a benzene
24
     result as opposed to cyclohexane?
25
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 24
01
         Α.
               There was always a standard that's run that
         could compare the specific substance to the one that's
02
         being analyzed. I do recall that.
03
04
         Q.)
               Do you recall any questions at all regarding
05
         cyclohexane in regard to this test?
06
         A.
               I don't recall that.
07
         0.
               Do you know whether any additional tests are
08
      needed to segregate cyclohexane and benzene results
09
      when using a gas chromatograph?
10
         Α.
               I don't recall that.
(11)
         Q.
               If any additional tests were done, would you
12
                   that there would be documentation of those
         expect
13
         tests?
14
         Α.
               I would expect that there would be.
15
         0.
               As of the time that you were at Mobil in 1977,
16
      where would those documents be kept?
17
               Would be in a -- at -- in the files of the
         Α.
18
         analytical laboratory.
19
         Q.
               Do you know what the retention policies were
20
         with respect to documents at either Mobil's
2.1
      headquarters or the analytical lab for keeping
22
         documents for this type of testing?
23
         Α.
               I -- the retention policy, if I recall
24
         correctly, was to keep -- at least the toxicological
         documents that I can speak to, were to keep them for a
25
```



US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 2
01	very, very long time.
02	Q. Would you expect that if there were further
03	documentation of this Liquid Wrench test including
04	the protocols, the procedures used, any additional
05	tests done that those documents would have been
06	retained?
07	A. I can't answer that. You need to ask the
08	analytical laboratory people who were doing these
09	tests.
10	Q. From your testimony earlier, was a 30 percent
11)	benzene level an unexpectedly high level?
12)	A. I would say it's unexpectedly high level, yes.
13)	Q. Were you surprised by that finding?
14	A. Somewhat. I thought the level was much higher
15	than many other products that I have seen.
16)	Q. Did you do anything at that point to see if
17)	the result could be verified?
18)	A. No, I didn't I don't remember doing
19)	anything except that we suggested that the product be
20)	discontinued at this level of benzene.
21)	Q. Did you request any further documentation
22	concerning the test to see whether or not there was any
23)	indication whether there might have been any errors in
24	the test?
25	A. I did not request that. I don't think it was

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 26
01
         needed.
02
         Q.
               Do you know whether there was a subsequent
         test done to determine whether or not the results could
03
04
      be confirmed?
05
         Α.
               My recollection, there was a -- another test
06
         was done at a later date; and I don't recall
07
         specifically what it was at this moment.
08
         Q.
               Do you recall whether the 30 percent level was
09
      ever reproduced?
10
              I don't recall what -- if it was reproduced or
         Α.
11
         not.
12
         Q.
              Now, 30 percent would be a high enough level
13
         that is somewhat memorable; is that -
14
        Α.
               It was very --
15
         Q.
              -- correct?
16
         Α.
              -- memorable, yes.
17
               If you saw another 30 percent test, do you
         Q.
18
      think you would have remembered that there was another
19
        test out confirming the 30 percent?
20
         Α.
               Well, you're talking about 26 years ago. Not
21
         necessarily at this moment. If I saw it at that time,
22
         I may or may not; but I don't know. I don't recall
23
         that.
24
         Q.
              Do you think you would just remember the first
25
      one but you wouldn't remember the confirming test?
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Pa	ge
01	A. I don't recall at this moment.	
02)	Q. Okay. Do you remember seeing a test resulting	
03	in a 7 percent level?	
04	A.) (Yes, I do remember seeing that 7 percent.)	
05)	Q. Okay.	
06)	A. Now that you mention, I do remember.	
07)	Q. Do you recall when the test that you had the	
08)	7 percent level was done?	
09	A.) No. It would be approximately the same period	
10)	of time.	
11)	Q.) (Do you know where that test was done?)	
12)	A. I don't recall. There's a memo to that	
13)	effect, and I'm sure it's now that you mentioned i	.t,
14	I do recall that.	
15)	Q. Do you recall whether it would have been the	
16)	same laboratory or a different laboratory?	
17	A. I have to I don't recall. I have to look	
18	at the memo and see who did that.	
19	Q. Would it concern you at all that there would	
20	be such a wide difference between two results?	
21\	A.) No, I wouldn't be surprised. Depends on what	
22	stock material is being used.	
23	Q.) What would account for the differences?	
24)	A.) Well, it depends what's the source of your	
25	material. If it if you use kerosene or sometime	

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 28
01
         naphtha, the level would be substantially lower than
02
         whatever was used in initial products.
03
         Q.
               Okay. Well, if we're talking about two tests
04
         done on Liquid Wrench -- one showing 30 percent, one
05
         showing 7 percent -- if they're the same product, what
06
      would you expect -
07
         A.
               Excuse me. They're not the same product. The
      name is the same, but the product is different.
08
09
      Depends on the stock material that they used to put in
10
         the product. They couldn't be the same product.
11
         Q.
              So, do you have any way of knowing whether or
12
         not -- even if the 30 percent test was accurate for the
13
      particular sample it was done on, whether or not any
      other sample of Liquid Wrench would result in the same
14
15
         level?
               I know that 30 percent was accurate at the
16
         Α.
17
         time it was measured; and if the sample -- a different
         sample is taken with a different material that was put)
18
19
        in it, it would be different.
20
         Q.)
              Do you have any way of determining whether or
21
     not it would be more likely that different samples of
22
     Liquid Wrench would come to the 7 percent or the
23
         30 percent?
24
        Α.
              I can't -- I don't know. I just -
25
         Q.
              You would have to test each particular --
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

		Page
	01	(A.) That's right.
	02)	sample -
	03	A. You need to well, the way that was
	04)	determined was test it. So, obviously there was one
	05)	sample at 30 percent and one sample at 7 percent.
	06)	Q. And not having -
	07)	A.) From a different source.
	08)	Q. And you don't have personal knowledge as to
	09)	the source of the sample that resulted in the 30
	10)	percent, do you?
	11)	A. I don't recall that where it was obtained,
	12)	(no.)
	13	Q. You don't have any personal knowledge as to
pound	14)	whether that came directly from a sealed container of
ulative	15)	Liquid Wrench or whether it might have been a sample
	16	that might have been mixed with something else, do you?
	17)	A. I don't recall the source. It's been a long
\smile	18	time, and I just don't recall. I'm sure it was
	19	described to me at that time where they obtained, but ${\tt I}$
	20)	don't recall that.
	21	Q. Other than and let me ask you this: Do you
	22)	know what the sample size was that was tested?
	23	A. The actual sample size would have been very
	24	small, if you use gas chromatograph; but the sample
	25	size from what it was taken, no, I don't recall.

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 30
01
         Q.
               Other than the one particular sample and
02
      whatever that source was for that sample, you don't
03
      know what the content of benzene in Liquid Wrench would
04
      be in any other sample, do you?
05
        Α.
               No, 1 do not, unless it's tested.
06
         Q.
               Okay.
07
         MR. LYNN: Mark this.
0.8
         (EXHIBIT 5 MARKED)
09
         MR. LYNN: Do you need to take a look,
10
      Herschel?
11
         MR. HOBSON: From here it looks like
12
         something that's already been marked.
13
         THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's Exhibit 2.
14
        MR. LYNN: Oh, is it the same?
15
        THE WITNESS: Yes. Exhibit 2 is the same
16
        as Exhibit 5, identical.
17
        MR. LYNN: Okay. We hadn't put a date on
18
     Exhibit 2 when we were describing it.
19
        Q.
               (BY MR. LYNN) So, Exhibit 2 discusses a test)
20
        that was done on Liquid Wrench as well, correct?
21
        A.
               Yes.
22
        Q.
               And where was this test done?
23
        Α.
               The test was done in Paulsboro laboratory.
24
        Q.
               So, this test would have been done same
25
        location as the other test that you testified about?
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 31
01
        Α.
              Which other test?
02
        Q.
              The test that resulted in the 30 percent -
03
        Α.
              Well, that's 30 percent. We're talking -
04
        Exhibit 2 is 30 percent.
05
              If it's the same document I'm looking at, it's
        Q.
06
        7 percent.
              I don't have that document. You have both
07
        Α.
08
     documents that show 30 percent. This is dated
09
        October 18, 1977, October 18 -- these are two identical
10
        documents.
11
              Do you see there's an arrow drawn about
        Q.
12
     halfway or two thirds of the way down the page?
13
        Α.
              Yes. I see on the bottom, right.
14
              And what's that?
        Q.
15
              (Reading) It contained no fat, and ash content
        Α.
16
     was negligible. The aromatic solvent had the following
17
        characteristics: Benzene, 7 percent by volume.
18
        Right, that's the same document.
19
        Q.
              Right. And there's a comment section below,
20
        correct?
        Α.
21
              Yes.
22
        Q.
              And what does the comment section say in the
23
        first sentence?
24
              It says that it's not as high as 30 percent.
        Α.
25
              Okay. So, this is a 7 -- a test that showed 7
        Q.
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

		Page 3
01	percent, co	orrect?)
02	(A.) (That	's correct.)
03)	Q.) (This	is not a test that showed 30 percent,
04)	(correct?)	
05)	(A.) (Yes.	
06	(Q.) (So, t	we're talking about two separate tests.)
07)	(A.) (That	's right.)
08)	(Q.) (Do yo	ou know whether both tests were done at
09)	the same labor	ratory?
10)	(A.) (To the	ne best of my recollection, yes, they both
11)	would have bee	en done in the same laboratory.
12)	(Q.) (Did :	you ever look at any documents to
13)	determine whe	ther or not there were any differences in
14)	the procedures	s that were done in the two tests?
15)	A.) Proce	edures were always the same with respect
16)	to testing	for benzene.
17)	Q) (Are yo	ou telling us that from your personal
18)	knowledge or	from a supposition that that's the way you
19)	believe it was	s done?
20)	(A.) (No. 3	I had enough experience, communication
21)	with the labor	ratory about testing of samples on benzene
22)	that proceed	dures was followed essentially the same.
23)	(Q.) (Do ye	ou have a specific recollection with
24)	respect to	these two particular tests of having a
25	discussion or	reviewing documents to determine step by

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

		Page 33
	01	step whether or not these two tests were performed the
	02	same?
	03)	A.) I don't recall that.)
	04)	Q. Do you know whether or not the same sample was
	05)	used for both tests?
	06)	A. I doubt it very much. It's different samples.
	07)	Q. Is there any explanation at all as to what the
	08)	differences would be that would result in a 30 percent
1	09)	result in one test and 7 percent in another?
≤ 3	10)	A.) Different products, different sample.
403,`	111	Q. And do you know of any determination that was
io answe r vaste of time	12	ever done by Mobil as to whether or not the 30 percent
	13)	test or the 7 percent test more accurately reflected a
	14	typical or average sample of any particular formulation
	15) c	of Liquid Wrench?
	16	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
	17	A.) I didn't quite understand your question.
	18	Could you repeat that?
103,	19	Q. (BY MR. LYNN) Okay. You had two results.
cumulative	20)	One said 30 percent; and one said 7 percent, correct?
delay	21)	A.) Okay.
	22	Q. Was there ever any discussion as to whether or
	23	not making a determination as to whether either of
	24	those was a more typical result or sample of Liquid
	25	Wrench?

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 34
01	A. I don't recall. All we are interested, that
02	the product had more than 1 percent of benzene.
03	Q. And as far as you can tell, if another sample
04	of Liquid Wrench was tested, it might come out with a
05	completely different result again, correct?
06	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
07	A. That's possible.
80	Q. (BY MR. LYNN) And to the best of your
09	knowledge, there was no effort made to obtain every
10	different formulation or variation of Liquid Wrench to
11	determine the benzene content in each one of them, was
12	there?
13	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
14	A. I'm not aware of that. If it is, the people
15	who provide the samples should be able to answer that.
16	Q. (BY MR. LYNN) Now, we talked earlier on in
17	the deposition about being able to reproduce a result.
18	Do you have any concerns at all that the result from
19	the first test was not reproduced in the second test?
20	A. Two different samples. I don't know how you
21	can reproduce result from two different samples.
22	Q. And I take it there was no effort made to -
23	A. Unless you do the same sample. And I'm
24	positive it wasn't the same sample.
25	Q. And do you know whether or not any portion of

MEHLMAN, MYRON A. PLF COUNTER Designations

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page
01	the source for the first sample was retained and
02	available to be retested to determine whether or not
03	the 30 percent test was accurate?
04	A. You need to ask the analytical chemists.
05	People that would be very knowledgeable is Paul Carl
06	and Gerard.
07	Q. During the time that you were at Mobil, did
08	you keep track of other tests being done by other
09	people as to different types of substances being used
10	at Mobil?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Did you keep track of any other testing done
13	of Liquid Wrench?
14	A. Not that I recall.
15	Do you ever remember seeing any reports from
16)	any source outside Mobil stating that Liquid Wrench had
17	as high as 30 percent benzene content?
18	A. I don't recall that. And if I had went
19	through I had average of several thousand documents
20	per month. So, it would be difficult to keep track of
21	a specific product unless I have direct interest in it;
22	and I did not.
23	Q. In your time since leaving Mobil, have you
24	kept track of literature and testing done of various
25	products with respect to benzene concentrations?

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 36
01	A. Yes.
02	Q. Do you recall seeing in any of the literature
03	that you've ever reviewed any report of Liquid Wrench
04	having a benzene content as high as 30 percent?
05	A. I don't recall any concentration of most of
06	the products.
07	Q. Now, the testimony you're giving today is with
08	respect to Mr. Awalt's case. Have you reviewed any
09	records at all regarding Mr. Await or his alleged
10	exposure?
11	A. No. I don't even know what case this is.
12	Q. So, I take it by that that you would have
13	absolutely no way of knowing whether or not any Liquid
14	Wrench that Mr. Await might have used would have had
15	anything in common with any of the Liquid Wrench that
16	was tested in the two tests that we've discussed today;
17	is that correct?
18	A. I have no idea what you're asking me. I have
19	no information on the case or who are defendants,
20	except now I just learned what's the nature of the
21	case.
22	Q. Doctor, this is not the first case that you've
(23)	provided testimony for, is it?
24)	(A.) (That's correct.)
25)	Q. How many cases have you testified in before?

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

:		Page 37
	01	A. Altogether, probably between 50 to 70.
	02	Q. Would that have been depositions in those
	03	cases, trial testimony, or both?
	04	A. Both.
	05	Q. How many cases in which you gave a deposition
	06	but did not testify at trial?
	07	(A.) A lot.
	08	Q. Okay. Can you give us an approximate number?
	09	A. No, I can't. I didn't keep track.
	10	Q. Do you recall about how many cases that you
	$ 11\rangle$	actually testified at trial?
	12)	A. No, that because I appeared at trial.
	13)	Lot of time it settled. I got certified as an expert.
/403	14	The case got settled before I the last one I was in,
reievance 108,	1 5	and I didn't have a chance to give any testimony.
settlements	16	Q. Okay. But do you have any recollection as to
	17)	approximately how many cases you've actually given your
	18)	testimony at trial?
\mathcal{I}	19)	A.) Oh, I can tell you two or three trial? At)
/	20	least one maybe, trial cases
	21)	Q.) When you testify, do you generally testify for
	22	plaintiffs or defendants in cases?
	23)	(A.) Generally for plaintiffs.
	24	Q. And do you know what types of defendants have
	25	generally been sued in those cases?

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 38
01	A. They're all types petroleum companies,
02	chemical companies, and spillage. I really don't
03	recall what type of defendants because it's difficult
04	to say. Sometimes a list of defendants is so large
05	that I don't even read it except the first one.
06	Q. Do you recall giving any testimony concerning
07	Liquid Wrench before?
08	A. 1 probably did, but I don't recall where or
09	when.
10	Q. Do you recall the general substance of any of
11	your prior testimony concerning Liquid Wrench?
12	A. No, I don't recall that.
13	Q. Are you being paid for your work on this
14	particular case?
15	A. No, I don't think so. I no one mentioned
16	any money.
17	MR. HOBSON: As you know, Dr. Mehlman is
18	being presented as a fact witness.
19	MR. LYNN: I had been told that he had
20	been designated as a fact and expert witness. Is that
21	incorrect?
22	MR. HOBSON: As far as 1 know it is.
23	THE WITNESS: An expert?
24	MR. HOBSON: No, as a fact witness.
25	MR. LYNN: Okay.

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 39
01	Q. (BY MR. LYNN) Did you review any documents
02	prior to your deposition this morning?
03	A. No perhaps I did review some documents this
04	morning, but has nothing to do with this case or any
05	case Mr. Herschel Hobson is dealing with. It has to do
06	with hematological cancer that's caused by benzene.
07	MR. HOBSON: He gave me a recent
08	B publication.
09	Q. (BY MR. LYNN) Okay. Did you have any
10	discussions with Mr. Hobson or anyone at his office
11	prior to this deposition concerning this case or your
12	testimony?
13	A. No. I haven't spoken with Mr. Herschel Hobson
14	for a long time.
15	Q. Are you aware of any incidents or cases in
16	which any results from the laboratory that performed
17	the test we've been talking about today have been
18	questioned or challenged?
19	A. I'm not aware of any challenges to the Mobil
20	analytical laboratory.
21	THE WITNESS: Herschel, could you pass
22	some water, please?
23	MR. HOBSON: Sure.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you.
25	Q. (BY MR. LYNN) Do you know whether any of the

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 40
01	individuals that participated in the actual testing are
02	still employed by Mobil?
03	A. To the best of my -
04	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
05	
06	I'm very familiar with is Gerard. I don't know if he's
07	still there or not. He might be. Paul Carl, I worked
08	with him very closely. He was a senior manager. And
09	Selfridge, I know that he's not. I I can't answer
10	that. I really don't know. I know who's not.
11	Selfridge wouldn't be. He was pretty old when I worked
12	with him.
13	Q. (BY MR. LYNN) Do you know if Mr. Carl is
14	still living?
15	A. That's good question. I don't know. I
16	Q. And the last you had of any knowledge of
17	Mr. Carl, was that still while he was at Mobil?
18	A. Yes. And I've been following Mobil World that
19	lists people who have been deceased, and I don't
20	remember seeing his name.
21	Q. And you haven't heard of him being anywhere
22	else other than Mobil, have you?
23	A. I think he would retire from Mobil. He had
24	been with Mobil for maybe 40 years or so.
25	Q. Do you know who M.H. Meynig is, M-E-Y-N-I-G?

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page
01	A. He's not on this list, is he?
02	MR. HOBSON: I think he's on this
03	document here.
04	A. Oh, okay. This I'm sorry. That's a
05	different document.
06	Name is familiar, but I don't know if he -
07	he's it's from analytical laboratory. It could be
80	from Beaumont, but I don't know. I don't recall who he
09	is.
10	Q. (BY MR. LYNN) And do you know J.L. Wescoat?
11)	A. I know his name. I may have met him, but I
12	don't recall if I met him. I went to Beaumont several
13	times and but specifically I don't recall.
14	Q. Do you know what his job title was back in
15	1977?
16	A. No, I do not.
17	Q. Okay. Was he working at the Beaumont
18	facility,
19	A. Yes, I believe so.
20	Q. Do you know what department he was in?
21	A. He would no, I do not know. I don't recall
22	what department. If I met him, I would have known; but
23	I wouldn't remember specific individuals in specific
24	departments.
25	Q. Do you know what his role would have been in

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

403, waste of time

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 42
403,
           01
                 this testing program?
waste of
                          I do not know, but could have been -- he
           02
time
                   Α.
                   certainly -- I don't think he was industrial hygienist
           03
           04
                because he would have reported to me.
           05
                   Q.
                          On the document that we were just looking at
                    that had Mr. Meynig's name on it, there's some
           06
           07
                handwritten initials about two thirds of the way down
           80
                 the page on the right. Do you recognize any of those
           09
                   initials?
           10
                         No, I do not.
                   Α.
403.
           11
                    Q.
                          Earlier on I started asking you about how
waste of
time
          12
                results were reported and there was some confusion on
                   that and that's whenever we started talking about
          13
          14
                procedures. The document that we've been looking at
          15
                 today with the 30 percent finding has got just a
                handwritten result on it, correct?
          16
          17)
                   A.
                          Yes.
          18
                   MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
          19
                   Q.
                         (BY MR. LYNN) If a gas chromatograph is used,
          20
                would the test result be printed off in some form or
          21
                would it -- how would the people doing the testing see
          22
                   the result?
          23
                   Α.
                         I believe it would be printed off.
          24
                   Q.
                         Okay. So, would you expect that there would
          25
                be a document someplace in some kind of mechanically
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 43
     printed form that would contain the results from the
01
        test that was performed on the Liquid Wrench sample?
02
              It would be -- by "printed off," I mean charts
03
        Α.
        from which the levels could be calculated or
04
        computerized. Would I expect they'll be someplace?
05
        Can't answer that. At this point in time, I don't know
06
        what's -- who would have what where.
07
08
        Q.
              Okay.
              It's too many years past.
09
        Α.
              And would you have expected that in 1977 there
10
        Q.
     would be documents existing that would contain the test
11
        results from the test performed on the Liquid Wrench
12
13
        sample?
              On any samples I would expect that.
        Α.
14
               Do you know what the retention policy for that
15
        Ο.
     type of document would be?
16
               I don't know what the analytical retention
17
        Α.
     policy but I do know I have a small section in
18
         Paulsboro, toxicology section, Fred Feasley, and he
19
         retained everything going back to early 1950s. So, I
20
      was able to get documents that I need on testing and
21
         discussions 25 years -- well, 1950s -- it would be 54
22
         years now, but at that time it would have been 25 years
23
        back.
24
25
         Q.
               And you said that gentleman's name was Fred
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

			Page 44
01	Feas	Ley?	i age 4-
02	Α.	Feasley, F-E-A-S-L-E-Y.	
03	Q.	And he was at the Paulsboro lab?	
04	А.	Yes. He reported to me.	
05	Q.	Do you know whether he is still employed by	
06	Mobil	L?	
07	Α.	No, he's not employed by Mobil.	
08	Q.	Do you know	
09	Α.	He's deceased.	
10	Q.	Okay.	
11	А.	He'd been with Mobil probably between 40 and	d
12	50 ye	ears.	
13	Q.	Do you know who his successor at Mobil migh	t
14	have bee	en?	
15	Α.	No, I he would not have a successor.	
16	Q.	Do you know whether the facility in which he	Э
17	worke	ed is still existing?	
18	Α.	Yes. The laboratory, the refinery is still :	in
19	Pauls	sboro.	
20	Q. Ar	nd do you know in what way he kept the	
21	recor	ds that he kept?	
22	Α.	Filing cabinets.	
23	(Q.)	And at the time in 1977, would you have	
24)	expected	that there would have been a file kept with	
25)	(the r	records showing test results from this type or	

cumulative

 \bigcirc

403,

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

403,	cumulative Page 45
01	testing?
02	A.) In 1977, yes.)
03	Q. Did you ever see any documentation concerning
04	the Liquid Wrench test other than the handwritten
05	result that we've looked at here today?
06	A. I don't recall what I have seen.
07	Q. We spoke earlier that the 30 percent finding
08	would have been an unusually high finding. Do you know
09	whether any attempt was made to discuss that finding
10	with the manufacturers of Liquid Wrench?
11	A. I don't recall if any attempt was made or was
12	not. I'm not aware of that at least I don't recall.
13	Q. If you or anyone else at Mobil felt that there
14	was a health risk as a result of the finding with
15	respect to Liquid Wrench, did you think that that was
16	something that should be communicated to anyone outside
17	Mobil?
18	A. I hope that it was certainly must be
19	communicated to the employees and people who use it.
20	Was it communicated to manufacturers? I have no I
21	don't have no knowledge of that. I mean, that would be
22	by the products people. They were aware of the
23	concentrations.
24	Q. Who would the products people be that were
25	made aware of the testing?

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 46
               I don't recall their names. There were lot of
01
         Α.
02
         products people.
03
               Do you have any personal knowledge as to
         Q.
04
      whether or not Radiator Specialty Company, who produced
05
      Liquid Wrench, was ever informed of the 30 percent test
06
         result?
               I have no knowledge of that.
07
         Α.
         MR. LYNN: Pass the witness at this time.
08
09
         EXAMINATION
10
         BY MR. MILLER:
11
         Q.
               Dr. Mehlman, good morning.
12
         Α.
               Good morning, sir.
13
               My name is Adam Miller. I represent United
         0.
14
         States Steel, and I have some questions for you this
15
         morning.
16
         Dr. Mehlman, are you familiar with any
17
         evaluation or review of Mobil's analytical testing
18
         laboratories or practice, any survey to evaluate the
         consistency of the results that its laboratories
19
20
         obtained in its testing?
21
         Α.
               Specifically, no, not at this time.
22
               All right. I'm asking about something like an
23
        audit. Was it your recollection that Mobil would
24
        periodically audit its analytical laboratories for the
25
        purpose of assessing whether or not its laboratories
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 47
     were conducting evaluations using good laboratory
01
02
     practice and consistently following the appropriate
03
        protocols?
04
              First of all, the good laboratory practice
        Α.
        didn't come into effect until later. I don't recall
05
        anything specific at this time. I know we looked for
06
07
        accuracy and reproducibility. That I'm aware of, but
        anything else I don't recall.
08
              All right. You mentioned in your last answer
09
        Q.
      that good laboratory practice did not come into effect
10
        until after 1977; is that correct?
11
               I'm not too sure when it came, but we
12
        Α.
13
        immediately implemented it. It may have came -- in
        toxicological area it came a little later. That was
14
        after I.B.T. scandal, which was Industrial. Bio-Test
15
     Laboratory in Chicago, which they got indicted. They
16
        always produced desirable results for the industry.
17
        For example, mice that died on Friday reoccurred on
18
19
     Monday. They became alive. We called them Jesus mice.
     Graphic methods were used to generate data, and the
20
     person of that company I do recall went to jail.
21
22
        MR. MILLER: I'm going to move to strike
23
        your response as being unresponsive.
24
         (BY MR. MILLER) Let me reask the question
25
         again.
```



US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	01	A. All right.	
	02	Q. You're familiar with the term "good lak	ooratory
	(03)	practices"?	
	(04)	(A.) (Yes, I am.)	
	(05)	Q. Is there a technical meaning or a means	ing of
	(06)	the phrase "good laboratory practice" that's	recognized
	(07)	in the field of analytical chemistry?	
	(80)	(A.) (I don't recall.)	
iulative	09)	Q.) All right. Were there standards of good	1
ulalive	10)	laboratory practice adopted in the industry a	at some
	11)	point in time, Doctor?)	
\cup	12)	(A.) My recollection that there were standar	rds, but)
	13)	what they are I don't recall.	
	(14)	Q.) Do you recall when those standards beca	ame)
	15)	effective or had been adopted in the analytic	cal
	16)	chemistry?	
	17)	(A.) (No, I do not, no.)	
	(18)	Q.) So, you don't know whether at the time	the
	19)	test results that we've been talking about to	oday were
	(20)	conducted in the laboratory under standards of c	jood)
	21)	(laboratory practice; is that correct?)	39125169792566124F
	22	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.	
	23	(A.) (All I know and I recall that they have	high
	24)	standards in performing their work in terms of	of)
	25	accuracy.	sections.

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	01	Q. (BY MR. MILLER) I understand that, Doctor;
ıti∨e	02	but what I'm asking is whether or not there were
	03	standards for laboratory practice that were recognized
	04	in the industry that had been adopted by Mobil at the
	05	time of the testing that's reflected in the documents
	06)	we've talked about today.
	07)	A.) I don't recall that.)
	08)	Q. Okay. Thank you, Doctor.
	09	Doctor, is it your testimony today that every
	10	single test result obtained from Mobil's analytical
	$ 11\rangle$	laboratories was accurate?
	12	A. Every single one? It's I never testified
	13	to that. I said by and large, to the best of my
	14	knowledge, all the results that were done for me were
	15)	accurate.
ıtive	16	Q. Let me ask that question again, sir, because I
	17)	don't think you specifically answered my question. Is
entativ	e 18	it your testimony that every single analysis conducted
55 12	19	by Mobil's analytical laboratories were accurate?
	20)	A. I can't swear to that, that "every single."
	21)	
	22	go ahead.
	23	Q. Can you tell me the percentage of analyses
	24	conducted by the Mobil analytical laboratories during
	25	the time period that you were with Mobil can you

0

USS Obj: Form Compo und 602

MEHLMAN, MYRON A. PLF COUNTER Designations

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 50
01	tell me the percentage of those results that were
02	accurate?
03	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
04	A. As far as I'm concerned, they were all
05	accurate.
06	Q. (BY MR. MILLER) Do you have any objective
07	data or information that would identify the percentage
08	of test results that were accurate?
09	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
10	A. If I had any, I wouldn't recall that. I -
11	they were accurate, because we checked our samples,
12	what they did for us. The benzene analyses were
13	accurate. I split samples in two, I put in blank
14	controls, we spiked samples, and we run standards with
15	it.
16	MR. MILLER: I'm going to move to strike
17	your answer as nonresponsive.
18	A. I thought it was very responsive. How we
19	did you asked me how -
20	Q. (BY MR. MILLER) Excuse me. Sir, there's no
21	question pending. Thank you.
22	Sir, is it your testimony that for every
23	single analytical test run by Mobil in the 1977 time
24	period, the appropriate or recognized protocols were
25	followed?



Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 51
01	A. I can't answer your question because the test
02	sampling that were done for me, procedures were
03	followed I sent people to check in addition to
04	myself and the results were accurate.
05	Q. Who are the people that you sent to check on
06	the analytical chemists?
07	A. Feasley was one of them because he located on
08	the facility and had tremendous amount of knowledge.
09	There are other people that I sent to have these
10	results checked. There I had several other
11	industrial hygienists. I don't even remember the name
12	but I send them to Paulsboro and they spent time with
13	them looking at it and I was called constantly. That I
14	recall.
15	Q. Sir, how is it that you are satisfied that the
16	results from the testing that we've talked about here
17	today with respect to Liquid Wrench were properly
18	interpreted?
19	A. Because all the other results, samples that we
20	sent for analysis and these were several thousand
21	samples were accurate. I did not send Liquid
22	Wrench. I requested that be tested. I sent thousands
23	of other samples to be tested.
24	Q. Doctor, do you know the limits of detection
25	for gas chromatography that was used in the testing of

MEHLMAN, MYRON A. PLF COUNTER Designations US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

ranscript of Mehlman, Myron

USS Obj: 602



Rhyne Trial Master

```
Page 52
01
     the Liquid Wrench reflected in the documents we've
02
         talked about today?
03
         A.
              I don't recall specifically; but if sample is
04
     very concentrate, you dilute it. You keep on diluting
05
      it till you get within a range that can be measured
        accurately.
06
              Is there a rate of error for gas
07
         Q.
08
         chromatography in the 1977 time frame that you're
09
        familiar with?
10
        A.
              There is, but I don't remember what it is.
              Is there any extent of variability that might
11
        Q.
12
         result from test to test of a split sample, for
13
      example? Can you expect some variability in gas
14
      chromatography?
15
        Α.
              Yes.
16
        Q.
              What is the extent of that variability?
17
        Α.
              On my samples they were within 3 percent, the
18
         ones that I split; but I don't know what it was and
19
      what range.
20
              Could it have been higher?
        Q.
              It could be.
21
        Α.
22
               I understand that -- from your prior testimony
         Q.
         today that you have no knowledge about Mr. Awalt's
23
         occupational history; is that correct?
24
25
         Α.
               I don't know who Mr. Await is. The first time
```

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 53
01	I heard his name is right here.
02	Q. I want to hand you what has been marked
03	Exhibit No. 1, and this is a notice to take discovery
04	deposition
05	A. Okay.
06	Q in the Ronald Awalt case.
07	A. I didn't even look at that. I looked at the
08	second part.
09	Q. Okay. That notice is for your deposition here
10	today; is that correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. All right. And you understand now that this
13	case relates to a gentleman by the name of Ronald
14	Awalt?
15	A. No, I don't understand that. That's just what
16	I see here. I had no idea what's the case or anything
17	about the case.
18	Q. All right. I understand from your earlier
19	testimony today that you had some responsibility at
20	Mobil for evaluating exposures to benzene for workers
21	in Mobil's own facilities.
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And can you tell me how it is that Mobil
24	assessed, during your tenure there, potential exposures
25	to benzene for its own workers?

Rhyne Trial Master

Page 54 01 Α. You put equipment on the workers and tubes that -- and for whatever period of time they worked, 02 03 different periods of time, you measured the areas where they worked and the level of benzene in these areas. 04 05 Then be extrapolated, seeing that it's only 1 part per million of benzene or less they would be inhaling that. 06 07 We calculated that to eight -- to eight-hour average. 08 So, what you do, I take it -- or what -09 strike that. What Mobil did during your tenure there as 10 11 director of toxicology and environmental health is to 12 conduct actual measurements of benzene in the work 13 environment in which benzene exposed workers actually 14 conducted their work. 15 Α. That's correct. 16 And, then, I take it that you took those Q. samples and extrapolated to I think what we call a time 17 18 weighted average -19 Α. Yes. 20 0--- to evaluate the concentration that a worker 21 might be exposed to over the course of an eight-hour 22 workday. 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. And I take it that part of that process is, as we said, to put measurement devices actually within the 25

Rhyne Trial Master

Page 55 a. harrigane flewder, islature? 2 A YES Œ Q Solatyordaniy—craedanasyoran extractorout deposit et astdermin tenderimet. (5) A YES Œ Q Dypucellulatetal, alighetia Bypuced leton florida yealerina et al. σ ® heith and the bywaker noticing in the atre 9 tabe istabile armitoioposapling of \mathfrak{D} whosivatelinationaintenceology \mathbb{I} rabiograidume? \mathcal{D} A Idolean \mathbb{B} Q Westepræsstatuejstræsdæside 14 restoracionador separetoras Б alelbiety? 16 A Indice I teranofit I sera 17 Ingline hot I do bill the the first 50 to a 13 tomobapie, kerīgt texait, īdbilte 19 20 calcition yelf. Q Patr-2 22 A Robby but-Q Bruibuspettolisposs, isobietry 23 24 and the description flat weeks desirates 25 of taking transplant

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 56
01	A. I don't probably. I just don't recall
02	specifically that what where it comes back to.
03	Q. How would you describe that process where you
04	take a sampling device and put it in the breathing zone
05	of the worker and put the worker in his ordinary job
06	and have him and evaluate those exposures?
07	
08	The state of the s
	B recall a number of monitors. I guess that would be
09	dosimetry, yes. I had to buy over a hundred additional
10	monitors. I just recall that. Couldn't remember.
11	Q. So, the process is called dosimetry.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Or the mechanism of doing these evaluations.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Do you recall ever conducting dosimetry on
16	or using Mobil workers who were involved in vehicle
17	maintenance?
18	A. I don't recall specifically. We conducted
19	measurements on all type of occupation mechanics,
20	probably electricians, just people working around
21	the many different places.
22	Q. Do you recall whether Mobil during the time
23	that you were director of toxicology and environmental
24	health, do you know whether Mobil conducted dosimetry
25	of individuals using Liquid Wrench?

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 57
01	A. I don't know. I can't answer that. I
02	wouldn't I don't recall that.
03	Q. Other than gas chromatography, which I believe
04	you've described for us earlier today, do you know
05	whether Mobil, during the time you were director of
06	toxicology and environmental health, attempted to
07	evaluate a worker's exposure to Liquid Wrench to
08	benzene in Liquid Wrench in his ordinary workplace?
09	A. I don't recall that specifically.
10	Q. Have you ever seen any results of dosimetry or
11	the evaluation of benzene exposure to a worker using
12	Liquid Wrench in his real work environment?
13	A. I don't recall.
14	Q. And I take it that you're not providing
15	testimony in this case about what the results of that
16	dosimetry would be for a worker using Liquid Wrench in
17	his ordinary work environment; is that correct?
18	A. I'm not providing any testimony except to the
19	authenticity of these documents.
20	Q. Okay. Sir, have you ever observed a worker
21	using Liquid Wrench?
22	A. No, at least not consciously that I'm aware
23	of.
24	Q. I take it that you may have incidentally
25	observed the use of Liquid Wrench.

Rhyne Trial Master

		Page 58
a.	A. BtIvokithaekonit.	
02.	Q So, Itale it that you have it observe you to	
OB	cftimeachntionsholycrayspecificassessments	
04	hwawaterussTiqicWeshinhisociasySwakay theqaatityttatmigttleussloctte	
05		
06	frequency clues colling is that the	
07	A. Not—rottatIneallaytingliketat.	
08	Q Cay Withnesser to evaluating water	
Œ	setywith exect to exosue to large, wenyou	
10	veedictoroftoxickoyanderinonetallealthat	
1	Moil, was disinstry the least source orders tool that	
12	you had a zalikile for presitting where prosume to	
13	lææeintewekr'scolæywekjbædinte	
14	evicents in which evoke?	
15	A Import—ra, Idopt knowifitwestockest	
16	tol; bt:itwsaqidyazibletol.	
17	Q Watwoidlanekeenttekestazilkilettol	
18	tommentevolec's exame to large intervale	
19	enionetasleoleshisjb?	
20	NR HEREN Objection, form	
21	A Idrit—Idritæll. Istopæl	
22	paticing in istrial hydrac funtion for any years	
23	aheady.	
24	Q (2018 MILE) Asyousit here tody, can	
25	youthinkcfattollottertlandsintry to assessa	

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 59
01	worker's exposure to benzene in his work in his
02	precise work environment?
03	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
04	A. It's not something I do. It's not part of my
05	job; so, I can't think of anything. If I heard of some
06	better procedures, I don't recall. I'm sure I did in
07	some of the benzene meetings that I attended, but I
08	didn't make any effort to retain that information.
09	Q- (BY MR. MILLER) In 1977 when you were
10	conducting an evaluation of Mobil employees' exposure
11	to benzene in their workplaces, did you use any
12	methodology other than dosimetry for the purpose of
13	making those assessments?
1.4	A. I don't recall using anything else.
15	Q. I take it you were satisfied with the results
16	that you could obtain from dosimetry for the use in
17	making estimates of a worker's overall exposure to
18	benzene in the precise work environments in which he
19	worked.
20	A. I was satisfied because the exposure in
21	refineries were very low. The average is less than
22	tenth of a part per million. We need to know only the
23	areas that exceed on that 1 part per million. And
24	we're also satisfied because we measured in many
25	different facilities, both U.S. and outside; and the

Rhyne Trial Master

		Page
	01	results were consistent from different facilities. So,
	02	that adds a lot to credibility of your test result.
03,	03	ig(Q. ig) (With respect to the specific analyses that)
mulative	(04)	we've talked about today, those that reflect in the
	(05)	documents that we've marked of benzene content of
\bigcirc	(06)	Liquid Wrench at 7 percent and 30 percent, do you know
	(07)	whether split samples were taken of these particular
	(80)	samples for the purpose of comparing the results?
	(09)	A.) (I I don't recall how they're how samples)
	(10)	were taken, were they split or duplicate. I don't
	(11)	(recall.)
	12)	Q.) Was it the standard practice for the Mobil
	13)	laboratory that or Mobil's analytical laboratories
	14)	(to take split samples for the purpose of comparing)
	15)	samples taken from a single source?
	16)	(A.) (I don't recall. I know I did divide some of
	17)	my samples in duplicate to see how they compare. If
	18)	(they did split, then there would be four analyses in a)
	19	single sample.)
	(20)	Q. But again, you don't have any information with
	21	respect to these particular tests -
	(22)	(A.) (I -)
	23)	Q. (to know whether splits were done?)
	(24)	A. That's right.
	25)	Q. Or if they were done, what the results were?

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	A. I don't know.	01
ated that on occasion spiked	Q. Okay. You indic	02
for the purpose of conducting	samples would be used	03
benzene?	analytical tests for	04
	A.) That's right.)	05)
e for me what a spiked sample	Q. Can you describ	06)
	is?	07)
known amount of diluted	A. Sure. You put a	08
you split the samples in two	benzene in a sample and	09
em and see what percent)	and you put in two of th	10)
do a blank and you find if	recovery, especially you	11
r billion, you want to see how	you put, say, 5 parts pe	12
d; or you also want standard.	much of that is recovere	13)
of benzene you provide three or	Different concentration	14
ly four with different level	four samples usual	15
s comes out; and then you run	and see how that analysi	16)
hat you don't find any benzene	some blanks and see t	17
blank that shows benzene	it. If you come in with	18
worry about it.	concentration, you would	19)
ples used for the analyses tha	Q.) Were spiked sam	20
)	we've talked about today	21
	A.) Don't know.)	22)
to Liquid Wrench?	Q.) with respect	23)
is don't know.	A.) I just that	24
ou have any objective evidence	Q. All right. Do y	25

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

403,	l
403, cumulative	þ

	Page
01	(that would suggest that the specific Liquid Wrench)
(02)	tests that we've talked about today are or were at
(03)	the time repeatable and reproducible?
04)	A.) (I have no idea if they repeated them or)
(05)	reproduced them.
06	Q. We were talking a moment ago about evaluating
07	worker exposure in the environment in which that worker
08	conducts his workday. You remember that discussion

10

09

A. Yes.

generally?

- 11 Q. We were talking about using dosimetry.
- 12 It's possible, is it not, to use a product
- even if it had 30 percent benzene, a Liquid Wrench
- 14 product with 30 percent benzene, and have a time
- 15 weighted average exposure to benzene in the workplace
- less than 1 part per million?
- 17 MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
- 18 A. I would say it's very unlikely with that
- 19 concentration that you will have that low exposures;
- 20 and if it's used equip -- to clean the equipment, I
- 21 would say very unlikely that it would be so low.
- 22 Q. (BY MR. MILLER) What's your understanding of
- 23 how Liquid Wrench is used?
- 24 A. Cleaning equipment. It's a good cleaning
- 25 agent; and I'm not sure if it's used in radiators, to

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

		Page 63
()1	clean the radiators. I don't have extensive knowledge
(02	about Liquid Wrench.
()3	Q. When you say to clean equipment, do you know
()4	how it would be applied for that purpose?
()5	A. No. If I did know at one time, I don't
()6	recall.
(07	Q. Do you know how much Liquid Wrench would be
(08	used for that purpose?
()9	A. No, I do not.
-	LO	Q. Okay. And 1 take it you would have no
-	11	familiarity with what a worker might use in terms of
-	12	quantity of Liquid Wrench in any given day.
-	13	A. That's right. I do not I'm not familiar at
-	L 4	this time.
	15	Q. Do you know what crafts would use Liquid
-	16	Wrench?
:	17	A. I think it would be used car mechanics,
-	1.8	people who work with the equipment.
-	19	Q. For cleaning?
2	20	A. Cleaning. That's all that I recall.
2	21	Q. And certainly you don't have any information
2	22	about how Mr. Awalt used Liquid Wrench.
2	23	A. I have no information about Mr. Await at all.
2	24	Q. Okay. Let's talk about vehicle mechanics.
,	25	Have you ever observed vehicle mechanics throughout

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 64
01	their workday for the purpose of assessing the
02	materials they use, the quantity of those materials, or
03	the frequency with which they use those materials?
04	A. No.
05	Q. Do you know whether any kind of study of that
06	nature was undertaken by Mobil with respect to Liquid
07	Wrench?
08	A. I do not know. One of my industrial
09	hygienists may know, but I do not know.
10	Q. Okay. In the documents that you brought today
11	to the deposition and which have been marked, is there
12	any discussion about how Liquid Wrench would have been
13	used at any of Mobil's facilities in the 1977 time
14	period?
15	A. If it was 26 years ago, I wouldn't remember
16	that.
17	Q. Well, not only would you not remember it, sir;
18	but it's not reflected in the documents; is that
19	correct?
20	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. (BY MR. MILLER) Let me reask that. Is there
23	any reference in the documents that you brought about
24	how Liquid Wrench is used by Mobil employees or was
25	used by Mobil employees in the 1977 time period?

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 65
01	A. I do not see that in the documents.
02	Q. All right. You don't know whether it was used
03	indoors or outdoors?
04	A. I do not know. It could be well, obviously
05	it could be used indoors, it you are a mechanic and
06	working at a gas station, and outdoors. Depends on the
07	weather conditions.
08	Q. And it depends on the kinds of equipment that
09	you're cleaning or using the Liquid Wrench on.
10	A. That's right.
11	Q. Some pieces of equipment can't be brought
12	inside.
13	A. That's right.
14	Q. Do you know the duration of exposure to
15	benzene in Liquid Wrench that an individual would
16	experience using Liquid Wrench in the manner that you
17	believe it's used for?
18	A. Depends on how long it -
19	MR. HOBSON: Excuse me. Objection, form.
20	Now you may answer.
21	A. Depends on how long the equipment is being
22	cleaned. It could be anywhere from ten minutes to
23	couple hours. I don't I just don't know. I don't
24	understand these questions, I'm here only to certify
25	that the documents are authentic. All of this, it

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 66
01	seems to me, leads to looking for an expert analytical
02	chemist and industrial hygienist, which I'm not going
03	to testify to that. I'm not going to testify at all
. 04	except to one fact.
05	MR. HOBSON: By the way, you've pointed
06	out already that Dr. Mehlman is not being paid. You've
07	wasted now two hours, in my humble opinion, going over
08	things that he you've asked a whole series of
09	questions about Liquid Wrench use after he says "I
10	don't remember seeing it being used," "I don't know how
11	it's used," all that sort of thing. If you're going to
12	go any further, I'd ask that you compensate Dr. Mehlman
13	his usual rates if you want to use him for his
14	testimony. I think you've beat a dead horse to death
15	again. Are we about done?
16	MR. MILLER: Yeah, we are. This kind of
17	discussion is just making things a little more
18	prolonged.
19	MR. HOBSON: Well, it does because you've
20	already prolonged it too long.
21	MR. MILLER: I'm going to move to strike
22	your previous response in the record as being
23	nonresponsive.
24	MR. HOBSON: What was it, by the way?
25	What did he say?

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 67
01	MR. MILLER: He said something completely
02	different from the answer that would have been
03	responsive.
04	MR. HOBSON: Great.
05	A. I just don't understand I said I don't
06	understand these questions because I'm not here to
07	testify on anything that you had asked me.
08	Q. (BY MR. MILLER) Okay. I understand that,
09	sir. I -
10	A. Anything you want to ask about hematological
11	effect of benzene and injury and levels, I'll be glad
12	to spend whatever time you want discussing it.
13	Q. Again, Doctor, there's no question pending
14	now.
15	Sir, are you aware of the extent to which -
16	I'm sorry. Hold on just a second.
17	Doctor, are you aware of the extent to which
18	other hydrocarbon substances in a sample might
19	interfere with a determination of the quantity of
20	benzene in that sample?
21	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
22	A. I don't recall. I know some discussions
23	were took place around that subject matter, and
24	some and it was explained to me that there was some
25	modification in columns to get cleaner separations.

Rhyne Trial Master

Page 68 01 (BY MR. MILLER) Do you know the extent to 0. 02 which that interference may have affected the 03 reliability of the samples and the results that are 04 reflected in the documents that you've brought to the 05 deposition today? Α. Well -- 06 07 MR. HOBSON: Objection, form. 08 I don't think the reliability is affected in Α. 09 analyzing from benzene. I think the procedures that 10 they -- Mobil analytical laboratory established was 11 just as good as anybody in the world can do. They 12 discussed it with, long time ago, the American 13 Petroleum Institute meeting with some other oil 14 companies; and they knew of high quality of work that our analytical division or sections -- we had more than 15 one -- were doing. So, I don't think that is even in 16 17 question. 18 Q. (BY MR. MILLER) I just have a few more 19 questions for you. 20 Α. Okay. 21 THE WITNESS: Can we take two-minute 22 break? 23 MR. MILLER: Absolutely. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record

MEHLMAN, MYRON A. PLF COUNTER Designations

Transcript of Mehlman, Myron

Obj: Form Comp ound



Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 69
01	at 12:12.
02	(A BREAK WAS TAKEN)
03	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
04	record at 12:16.
05	Q. (BY MR. MILLER) Sir, I want to hand you what
06	has previously been marked today as Exhibit No. 3. Can
07	you read the notation and handwriting down at the
08	bottom?
09	A. "Liquid Wrench."
10	Q. I'm sorry. Can you read the two lines there?
11	Not just the last line.
12	A. Okay. I suspect this is (reading) please
13	attach whatever that word I don't know what it
14	is. I can read the last line, and I can read (reading)
15	attach reference Liquid Wrench.
16	Q. It's not entirely legible; is that correct?
17	A. That's right. Some attachments it is
18	either to be as an attachment, or some additional
19	attachments may have been attached.
20	Q. And let me ask you this: The very top of this
21	document seems to reflect, aside from the fax notation
22	and other markings, that this may be an attachment
23	itself?
24	A "Attachment II." It's that's what it says.
25	Q. Do you know what document this particular
I	

Rhyne Trial Master

		Page 70
a	ekkiltatveverakelasEkkilt3 Ilalias vas	
(2)	atalieit?	
CB	A No Idoltheral Inpubilipresitated	
04	teanduret, billobit enil.	
© 5	Q Isitpostetatte—vell, snietat. Istisastantofinutativoiluseinite	
06		
07	197/tingerio?	
(38	A I—Icolt—	
9	NR MEEN WHELE STANDE?	
10	Q PARMIER Incory Isterdanet	
11.	tatvekenakelas Tikkit 3 astanlıcı firmilat	
2	Moil weighte 197/time erio?	
(13)	A Itemeny facilities accionally	
(14)	(htvery feet	
15	Q Dynakowatteshjetraterischtis	
16	particiberduner?@nyoueaulterslojet.retter?	:
I	A Itsys'liquiversia''s orting and I	
18	camb realthecter one—let one Outlebotton	
19	it.spiltayteskeltuqualuts, WDA) whicheso	
20	leerne, and iqidhindi, widdas Newet.	
2	Q Bitwithrepoet-tooleet-15those-fier-tre	
22.	wod. Sinjet, "yovanitu and tatin its etilety, is	
23	tatomet?"	
24	A Tatscaret, willoutry classes corne	
25	ragification.	

US Steel Deposition Designation Mehlman

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 71
01	Q. If you had your glasses, would you be able to
02	read that?
03	A. I don't know. I have the then I will
04	answer the questions if I -
05	Q. Do you know whose handwriting this is?
06	A. It's someplace on the bottom, but I can't read
07	that. There's a stamp, individuals who routinely get
08	copies of these, and the person has signed, but it's
09	not legible to me.
10	Q. So, you don't know who wrote out this
11	information on this document that we've marked as
12	Exhibit 3; is that correct?
13	A. That's correct, but it's addressed to two
14	Mobil people. One is to Beaumont refinery I suspect
15	he might also be in Beaumont refinery, but I don't
16	know.
17	Q. Do you know whether these results came from an
18	analytical laboratory in Beaumont?
19	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
20	A. Don't know. I don't think so. I think it
21	probably came from it was addressed to two
22	individuals at Beaumont refinery. I believe most
23	likely Paulsboro.
24	Q. (BY MR. MILLER) I take it you don't know that
25	for sure, though; is that correct?

Rhyne Trial Master

		Page 72
OL.	A. Tat's conect.	
Œ	Q Was Bailsoncabetter Honatory, in your	
Œ	estination, interns of techniques and standards and	
O4	primis?	
Œ	NR HIBEN Objection, form	
06	Q (EVAR MILES) Transferrance Liberatory?	
07	NR HESSN Objection, from	
CB	A Teyhala—Idn'tkawifIcansayit's	
Œ	letter. All I know is that the latoratory in Paulstono	
10	and the section was very good They did a let of] I new new test of petrolem products.	
11		
12	Q (YMR MILLE) Didtecompany rely on the	
13	Palsono Horatory for that purpose mue so than it	
14	didon the Monetony in Remont?	
15	NR. HUMAN Objection, form	
16	A. Well, they weeketter enjoyed. They had	
17	mepsple, latter equipart, messase.	
18	Q (YMR MILE) Woldywkeatole to say-	
19	well, strilettat.	
20	Te3peet—lexepeetvinetat	
21	appeasonEhicit3, is:itposicletattatoolike	
22	tepertvimeaaesitofqikirgteliqid	
23	Werthforthepuppeecfanaalytical.ted?	
24	A Novey,	
25	NR. HENDI Objection.	

MEHLMAN, MYRON A. PLF COUNTER Designations

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 73
01	A. No way. I mean, the spiking was only done by
02	my section before the sample went to the analytical
03	laboratory.
04	Q. Do you know when the tests of WD-40 and Liquid
05	Wrench were made -
06	A. From -
07	Q that are reflected in Exhibit 3?
08	A. Is there a date? It was in 1977, and this
09	note was sent on $10/4/77$. It was probably done a few
10	days before that.
11	Q. Is there any indication that -
12	Or the same day.
13	Q. Is there any indication on this document that
14	reflects the duration of time that may have lapsed
15	between when the samples were tested and when this
16	document was prepared?
17	A. No. When something is handwritten, it's
18	usually the same day, but I can't be certain of that.
19	Q. Best person to answer that question would be
20	the author himself?
21	A. Or one of the individuals that received it.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. And they I think one of them is probably
24	still at Mobil in Beaumont.
25	Q. I just have a few more questions for you, and

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 74
01	then I'll be finished.
02	We were talking earlier about airborne
03	concentrations of benzene resulting from the use of
04	this Liquid Wrench product, and I wanted to ask you:
05	The reason why you do air monitoring in the specific
06	work environment is because it's the work environment
07	that that varies for each worker in ways that affect
08	their overall exposure to benzene; is that correct?
09	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. (BY MR. MILLER) Whether the environment is
12	indoors or outdoors, whether it's poorly ventilated or
13	well-ventilated, the environment really makes an
14	important difference in the potential for exposure; is
15	that correct?
16	A. Yes, and also proximity of individual from the
17	sample. Example, if you top load barges, you get a
18	huge exposure of hydrocarbon vapors; and certainly
19	benzene levels can vary out to 150 or maybe even more
20	parts per million because you inhale the vapors.
21	Q. And the proximity of the individual to the
22	application and the duration of time he stays in the
23	vicinity of that application, all of that's important
24	in assessing a worker's exposure?
25	A. Yes.

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 75
01	Q. Air flow from the near field to the far field
02	and vice versa, that's important in assessing a
03	worker's exposure?
04	A. Yes.
05	Q. In other words, whether the air is static or
06	moving, that's an important feature in assessing -
07	A. Right, it makes a difference. The
08	concentration would be lower if the air is moving. The
09	concentration would be higher if the air is static.
10	Q. The frequency of use makes a difference in an
11	individual's -
12	A. Well, it's only a matter of how much he's
13	exposed. The more you use, the more exposure. We can
14	determine that in terms of total PPM years. We
15	calculate the time. Otherwise, you can't compare one
16	worker's exposure from the other one.
17	Q. Right. And, so, overall strike that.
18	That's, I believe, beyond the where we're headed.
19	MR. HOBSON: Shouldn't have stopped you.
20	It hasn't yet.
21	MR. MILLER: What's that?
22	MR. HOBSON: I said it shouldn't have
23	stopped you. It hasn't yet.
24	Q. (BY MR. MILLER) The temperature of the work
25	environment may make a difference?

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 76
01	A. Yes.
02	Q. Humidity may make a difference?
03	A. Yes.
04	Q. Temperature of the workplace may make a
05	difference?
06	A. Yes. If the equipment is sprayed if the
07	solvent is sprayed on it or solvent kept in it, it will
08	make a big difference how much the material will
09	evaporate and how much a person will inhale.
10	Q. And that's why you evaluate the work
11	environment and not the product the individual is using
12	to assess a worker's exposure.
13	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
14	Q. (BY MR. MILLER) Is that true?
15	A. That's one of the ways, yes.
16	MR. MILLER: That's all the questions I
17	have for you, sir. Thank you.
18	REEXAMINATION
19	BY MR. HOBSON:
20	Q. Dr. Mehlman, let me see if I can clarify a few
21	things.
22	Air sampling will not evaluate a worker's
23	exposure to his skin for benzene, will it?
24	A. No, because you have a contact that's
25	substantial exposure from dermal contact.

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 77
01	Q. So, would -
02	A. And also possibly inhalation, if he didn't -
03	ingestion, if he doesn't wash his hands and he takes
04	food in. So, there's three ways a worker could be
05	exposed: Primarily through inhalation, second through
06	dermal absorption, and third through ingestion.
07	Q. And you at Mobil also did something called
08	biological monitoring for benzene, did you not?
.09	A. Yes, we did.
10	Q. What does that mean, "biological monitoring"?
11	A. Monitoring means we measured the level of
12	benzene in individuals, how much he could expire in his
13	breath or we could take a blood sample or urine sample
14	and determine what metabolites from benzene would be
15	excreted this way. You can calculate how much benzene
16	was taken in.
17	Q. And that would be a reflection of the total
18	dose, not just what you breathe, correct?
19	A. Yes. You usually determine total dose for a
20	worker because breathing is only one of the ways that
21	he is exposed.
22	Q. And did Mobil use, also, something called
23	medical monitoring for its benzene exposed workers?
24	A. Yes. That was essential. We had people who
25	were exposed to one or more parts per million required

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 78
01	to undergo physical examination, to take more frequent
02	blood tests. I think it well, we had a policy to
03	that and procedure that is developed by medical
04	department to do medical monitoring to see if any
05	changes in his blood parameters; or if we see any
06	decrease in various blood cells, you remove the worker
07	from the job category because he may be more
08	susceptible.
09	Q. Have you seen evidence that medical monitoring
10	and biological monitoring for workers potentially
11	exposed to benzene in the workplace goes back into at
12	least the 1950s?
13	MR. MILLER: Objection, form.
14	A. It goes back all the way, but I'm not sure for
15	nineteen if I can recall specifically 1950. It goes
16	back at least I recall in the 1960. In fact, the
17	medical director at Beaumont has had that program
18	one of them, that he was still there he was there
19	for quite awhile in 1976, '77 when I joined Mobil.
20	Q. (BY MR. HOBSON) So, at least to 1960 at
21	Mobil, you know about those.
22	A. That I have seen, yes, sir.
23	Q. I wanted to ask you you were asked some
24	questions about the use of Liquid Wrench at Mobil and
25	also about air sampling concerning Liquid Wrench usage

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 79
0	at Mobil. Do you remember those questions generally?
0:	A. Yes.
0	Q. If you'll look at exhibit well, I'll just
0	pick Exhibit 5, which is the same as one of the other
0	exhibits, same as Exhibit 2.
0	A. Yes.
0	Q. All right. Exhibit 2 or 5, on the second
0	page, you see in the first paragraph it says "We
0	suggest that the use of the material be discontinued
1	and an alternate be found"?
1	A. That's correct. I remember it because we
1	discussed the situation the products with above
1	1 part per million of benzene, we should find
1	alternatives.
1	Q. And is that something generally known as
1	substitution?
1	A. Correct.
1	Q. And substitution is where you take a material
1	that has a hazardous property and substitute for it one
2	that will do the same job but doesn't have the toxic
2	property?
2	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Now, if your advice at Mobil was taken here in
2	October of 1977, would there be any need to do any air
2	sampling for Liquid Wrench at Mobil facilities after

Rhyne Trial Master

		Page 80
01	October, 1977?	
02	MR, MILLER: Strike that I object	
03	to the form.	
04	A. If the product was no longer used, there's	
05	nothing to be monitored.	
06	MR. HOBSON: Thank you, Dr. Mehlman.	
07	That's all I have.	
08	REEXAMINATION	
09	BY MR. LYNN:	
10	Q. Just a couple of quick follow-ups. Take a	
11	look at this document.	
12	A. Let's see.	
13	Q. Doctor, I handed you back Exhibit No. 4.	
14	don't think we really talked too much about that	
15	document.	
16	You are not shown as a recipient or copied on	
17	that document, were you?	
18	A. That's correct.	
19	Q. When did you first become aware of this	
20	document?	
21	A. I would say October, 1977.	
22	Q. In what circumstance would it have been	
23	brought to your attention?	
24	A. Anything that had to do with benzene would be	
25	given to us because at that time I was responsible	for

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 81
01	establishing policy and monitoring and making
02	recommendation on all subject matters related to
03	benzene.
04	Q. Do you know who R.E. Bistline is?
05	A. I don't recall his name. E.P. Medlin,
06	certainly name is coming back to me; but I don't know
07	what his job was.
08	Q. Do you recall E.D. Keiper?
09	A. No, I don't recall the name; but I'm sure I
10	knew these people or their name by names at
11	least.
12	Q. Do you know who Doug Leitch is?
13	A. Who?
14	Q. Doug Leitch, the first at the beginning of
15	the paragraph of the text, it says "Doug Leitch advised
16	me." Do you know who Doug Leitch is?
17	A. No, I'm not sure who he is. They had 30,000
18	employees; and many of them were in products, safety,
19	and different divisions, production, manufacturing.
20	So, I don't remember his name.
21	Q. That's okay. The document mentioned that
22	there was another company's concern about potential
23	benzene concentration in Liquid Wrench. Do you know
24	what other company they were talking about?
25	A. No, I do not know that.

Rhyne Trial Master

Page 82 01 Q. Okay. You see the last sentence of that first 02 paragraph says that "This information was relayed to Corporate Safety for their confirmation and 03 04 recommendations." Do you know who at corporate safety would receive this type of information at that time 05 06 frame? 07 Oh, yes, yes. That would be a lot of people. Α. 08 I knew everybody at one time in corporate. Dave Miller would be the head of corporate safety. 09 Q. Do you know anybody else that might have been 10 11 told about this? 12 No. I would say there were number of other Α. people. I don't remember their names, but I -- the 13 14 name that came back to me who -- is David Miller, who was the head of that department. He was corporate -1.5 16 in charge of corporate safety. 17 Do you know whether corporate safety was ever Q. 18 able to confirm the findings that were sent to them? I don't know. 19 Α. 20 Q. And Mr. Hobson just asked you some questions 21 about substitution of products. Do you recall that 22 testimony? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Was there a concern that if there was a Ο. 25 product with greater than 1 percent benzene content

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 83
01	that was being used, that that would require
02	monitoring?
03	A. I think that was the requirement, that medical
04	monitoring would be required of concentration above 1
05	percent, 1 or above.
06	Q. And when there were discussions about
07	substituting another product for Liquid Wrench, do you
08	know if that was in order to avoid having to comply
09	with monitoring requirements?
10	A. No, it was because the benzene exposure was
11	too high, which means that somebody else can get
12	injured, develop leukemias, lymphomas, or any other
13	type of cancers; and that presents tremendous liability
14	on part of the company.
15	MR. MILLER: I'm going to move to strike
16	the last response as being absent foundation.
17	(EXHIBIT 6 MARKED)
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. While
19	you-all are looking at that, can I change the tape,
20	please?
21	MR. LYNN: Sure.
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Going off the
23	record. The time is 12:37.
24	(A BREAK WAS TAKEN)
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 84
01	12:38.
02	Q. (BY MR. LYNN) Doctor, can you please describe
03	the document that was handed to you as Exhibit 6, for
04	the record?
05	A. A memo to number of people from C.W. Phillips,
06	benzene in Liquid Wrench.
07	Q. What's the date of the document, for the
08	record?
09	A. November 29, 1977; and this was mailed by
10	Wescoat, Wescoat coat, Wescoat.
11	Q. Okay. In the middle paragraph -
12	A. I see that, yes.
13	Q they talk about recommending or saying
14	that "You may wish to replace 'Liquid Wrench' with a
15	low-benzene content material." Do you see that part?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. The last sentence of that paragraph,
18	what does it say about why that substitution might be
19	done?
20	A. "This product has been analyzed by Research's
21	Analytical Department and was found to contain 0.01
22	weight percent benzene. Thus, its use would not be
23	covered by the Emergency Temporary Standard."
24	Q. Okay. And does the emergency temporary
25	standard relate to monitoring?

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 85
01	A. Emergency temporary standard was a way to
02	implement benzene starting it immediately until the
03	full standard is developed.
04	Q. All right.
05	A. It relates to essentially everything that
06	benzene standard relates to.
07	Q. Okay. But the it's that standard that
08	would require the monitoring be done.
09	A. Yes.
10	Q. And in the Exhibit 5 or Exhibit 2 we have
11	duplicates there on that second page that Mr. Hobson
12	was discussing with you, it again mentions that where
13	you've got a product containing more than 1 percent
14	benzene, you then have to take into consideration
15	requirements that monitoring be done, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Does it say anywhere on the document there
18	that well, on either of those two documents that
19	the substitution of a lower or nonbenzene containing
20	product was being recommended to make sure that the
21	workers weren't being exposed?
22	A. I'm sorry. I didn't quite understand your
23	question you suggested.
24	Q. Okay. The document mentions that substitution
25	should be made to bring the levels down below the

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 86
01	threshold for monitoring, correct?
02	A. Yes, an alternative should be found, same
03	thing, substitution.
04	Q. Now, the document does not say we should be
05	substituting these materials because it's more healthy
06	for the workers, does it?
07	A. Well, if you lower the level, it implies that
08	it will be safer product. It doesn't mean it's safe,
09	but certainly it would be considerably safer.
10	Q. But when the words were chosen to go into the
11	corporate documents that we're looking at here today,
12	the concern that was reflected by the words used in the
13	documents was the monitoring requirement; is that
14	correct?
15	A. That's what the document says by this
16	individual who wrote it.
17	MR. LYNN: That's all my questions.
18	Thank you.
19	MR. MILLER: I just have quick follow-up.
20	(EXHIBIT 7 MARKED)
21	REEXAMINATION
22	BY MR. MILLER:
23	Q. Mr. Mehlman, I'm going to hand you what I've
24	marked as Exhibit No. 7 and ask if you can identify
25	this document for me, please.

Rhyne Trial Master

Γ'	
	Page 87
01	A. Yes. The subject here is "Benzene Monitoring
02	Program, Submission of Samples."
03	Q. It's dated August 18, 1977?
04	A. Yes.
05	Q. And you were a recipient of this document?
06	A. Yes.
07	Q. And it was authored by Lester Levin; is that
08	correct?
09	A. Yes.
10	Q. And it refers to periodic benzene monitoring
11	that was ongoing at that time in Mobil facilities?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Was that monitoring similar to the dosimetry
14	that we talked about earlier in this deposition?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. And do you know how frequently this
17	monitoring or dosimetry was being conducted by Mobil at
18	that time?
19	A. Oh, I don't recall. That was a they did a
20	lot of monitoring. Lester Levin worked for me.
21	Everything he did he reported to me and got my
22	approval, including acquisition of equipment,
23	personnel.
24	Q. I take it from this document and your
25	recollection that Mobil was conducting dosimetry on a
1	

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 88
01	periodic basis of employees who were working in
02	environments that may be contaminated with benzene.
03	A. Very limited before the emergency temporary
04	standard and very few samples, not as frequently as
05	became necessary to do after 1977 Federal Register
06	publication of emergency temporary standard on benzene.
07	Q. What was the date of the publication of the
08	emergency temporary standard?
09	A. It's in Federal Register, and I think the
10	date I don't know the date. I can give you the
11	Federal Register number. It's 1910.1028. I'm sure I
12	have many copies of that.
13	Q. Was the sampling that's discussed in what
14	we've marked as Exhibit No. 7, the Lester Levin -
15	A. Levin.
16	Q memorandum, being conducted by Mobil in
17	response to the emergency temporary standard?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. And in August of 1977, was Liquid Wrench
20	available for use by Mobil employees in its facilities?
21	A. I can't answer that. I don't know.
22	Q. Was the recommendation made in the
23	documents we've looked at today made after August of
24	1977?
25	A. I can't tell you what month. I just don't

Rhyne Trial Master

	Page 89
01	know.
02	Q. We talked earlier in the deposition about
03	recommendations from individuals at Mobil to substitute
04	other products for Liquid Wrench.
05	A. Then, it would be after emergency temporary
06	standard.
07	Q. The October 12, 1977, memorandum, for example,
08	refers to a recommendation for substituting products
09	for Liquid Wrench.
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. Were there individuals who were monitored
12	using dosimetry, as we've discussed earlier in the
13	deposition, being strike that.
14	There were individuals who worked with Liquid
15	Wrench in Mobil facilities that had been monitored for
16	their benzene exposure prior to the recommendation for
17	the substitution of that product?
18	MR. HOBSON: Objection, form.
19	A. I don't know. I don't know. The person who
20	can answer that that would be in Mobil records
21	because individual by different job categories would be
22	listed and their exposure.
23	Q. All right.
24	MR. MILLER: I don't have any further
25	questions, sir. Thank you.

Rhyne Trial Master

		Page 90
01	MR. HOBSON: Completes the deposition.	
02	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
03	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record	
04	at 12:47.	
05	THE REPORTER: Do you want to read and	
06	sign?	
07	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.	
08		
09	(THE DEPOSITION WAS CONCLUDED)	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		į
23		
24		
25		-